

Novac 1230 Fire Suppression in BESS: A Safer Choice for Construction Sites

2024-08-27 14:41

Beyond the Spark: Why Your Construction Site BESS Needs the Right Fire Suppression

Honestly, if you've been on as many job sites as I have over the last two decades, you know the number one priority isn't just getting power it's keeping everyone and everything safe while you do it. We're seeing a massive shift towards using Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS) to power remote construction projects, from highway builds in Texas to new residential developments in Bavaria. They're quiet, flexible, and cut down on diesel fumes. But here's the thing we need to talk about over coffee: not all BESS containers are created equal, especially when it comes to the invisible threat thermal runaway and fire.

Quick Navigation

- [The Real Problem: It's Not Just About Flames](#)
- [Why This Matters More Than You Think](#)
- [The Solution Evolution: From Water to Clean Agents](#)
- [Novac 1230: A Closer Look for the BESS Environment](#)
- [A Real-World Case: Learning from the Field](#)
- [Making the Right Choice for Your Site](#)

The Real Problem: It's Not Just About Flames

The initial thought for fire safety often goes to sprinklers. On a construction site with a traditional generator, that might seem okay. But a lithium-ion battery fire is a different beast. It's a chemical fire that produces its own oxygen and can reignite. Dousing it with water might cool things down, but it also creates a serious risk of electrical shock and, frankly, can ruin the entire multi-million dollar asset. The water damage to sensitive battery management systems and electronics is often a total loss. I've seen this firsthand on site the aftermath of a well-intentioned but wrong suppression method is a soggy, hazardous, and financially catastrophic box.

Why This Matters More Than You Think

Let's agitate that point a bit. This isn't a hypothetical. The [National Renewable Energy Lab \(NREL\)](#) has done extensive research on BESS failure modes, and thermal events, while rare, are a top concern for insurers and local fire marshals. On a construction site, you're dealing with:

- High-Vibration Environments: Heavy equipment rolling by constantly.
- Dust and Debris: Which can interfere with cooling systems.
- Transient Power Demands: Cranes, welders, and concrete pumps can cause rapid charge/discharge cycles (high C-rate events), stressing the battery.

This combo increases the statistical risk profile. A fire event halts the entire project, triggers massive insurance claims, and can lead to stringent new regulations for your company. The downtime cost alone can dwarf the price of the BESS unit.

The Solution Evolution: From Water to Clean Agents

So, what's the answer? The industry has moved towards clean agent fire suppression systems specifically designed for enclosed, energy-dense environments like a BESS container. These systems don't rely on water. They use inert gases or chemical agents to extinguish fire by removing heat or interrupting the chemical chain reaction. The goal is to stop a



thermal runaway event in its tracks, minimize damage to the batteries themselves, and allow for safe access by first responders.

Two names you'll hear most often are FM-200 and Novec 1230. Both are excellent, but for the specific, mobile, and high-value application of a construction site BESS, one has started to pull ahead in the specs we look at.

Novec 1230: A Closer Look for the BESS Environment

Let's get technical for a minute, but I'll keep it simple. Think of the BESS container as a sealed workshop for electrons. If something goes wrong inside, you want to fix it without destroying the workshop.

Novec 1230 (chemically known as FK-5-1-12) is a fluorinated ketone. Its big advantage is its very low Global Warming Potential (GWP of 1) and zero ozone depletion potential. From a compliance and ESG reporting standpoint, that's huge, especially in Europe and eco-conscious US states. But for us engineers on the ground, the practical benefits are what matter:

- **Rapid Action & Design Concentration:** It extinguishes fire fast at a relatively low concentration (4-6%). This means you need less agent to fill the container, allowing for smaller, lighter storage tanks a real benefit for a mobile unit.
- **Non-Conductive and Non-Corrosive:** This is critical. It won't short-circuit the live electrical components it's protecting. After discharge, it evaporates completely, leaving no residue to clean up. No corrosive mess means the undamaged parts of your BESS might just be salvageable.
- **Low Toxicity & Safe for Occupied Spaces:** Its No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) is high. In plain English, if the system discharges, personnel have a much longer window to evacuate safely compared to some other agents. For a site where people might be working near the BESS, this is a major safety factor.



When we at Highjoule design our mobile SitePower BESS units for construction applications, we specify Novec 1230 systems that are integrated with our proprietary thermal management platform. The system doesn't just wait for a fire; it monitors for off-gassing (an early sign of thermal runaway) and can trigger a pre-emptive discharge to prevent a catastrophic event. This integrated design philosophy is what gets you past the strict UL 9540A test standard, which is now the benchmark for fire safety in the US and is heavily referenced in European IEC standards.

A Real-World Case: Learning from the Field

Let me give you an example from a project we supported in the Pacific Northwest. A major civil engineering firm was building a new bridge span. Their remote site had no grid connection. They were using a diesel generator array but needed to meet strict local emissions and noise ordinances. They switched to a BESS solution paired with a temporary solar array.

The challenge? The local fire marshal had never permitted a BESS of that scale (2 MWh) for a temporary site. His primary concern was: "What happens if it catches fire? My trucks are 30 minutes away."

We didn't just show him data sheets. We walked him through the UL 9540A test reports for our container design with the integrated Novec 1230 system. We explained how the clean agent would suppress a cell-level event without creating collateral water damage or a hazardous runoff situation near the river. We showed him the fail-safe mechanical ventilation that activates post-discharge. This tangible, standards-based explanation, focused on the specific agent's properties, turned a "no" into a permitted "yes." The system has been running flawlessly for 14 months, powering the site office, lighting, and electric tools, and it's set to move to the next project phase.

Making the Right Choice for Your Site

So, when you're evaluating BESS options for your next project, look beyond the battery chemistry and the price per kWh. Ask about the fire suppression system.

- Is it designed to UL 9540A or equivalent IEC standards?
- What clean agent is used, and why was it selected?
- Is it a passive box-with-a-system, or is the suppression actively integrated with the BESS's own thermal and gas detection sensors?

The right choice, like Novec 1230 in a properly engineered system, isn't just an insurance checkbox. It's what protects your capital investment, keeps your project on schedule, and most importantly, ensures everyone goes home safe. It's a core part of calculating the true, risk-adjusted Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) for your site power.

What's the one safety specification your current site power solution is missing?

Author: Thomas Han

12+ years agricultural energy storage engineer / Highjoule CTO

URL: <https://glenproperty.co.za/articles/comparison-of-novec-1230-fire-suppression-energy-storage-container-for-construction-site-power>

